

activity for housing is not systematic like it is for health and livelihood.

I saw damaged and cracked houses in 2005 in the Murkimalla gudem and in

this visit I saw the original houses repaired but newer houses added with

the same defects. There was also a water tank and pipes laid out but no water supply. The project staff demonstrated their strategy by taking photographs of the damaged structures that will then be put up to the press and government. This needs to be spread across affected gudems in Prakasham. The project holder said that he was waiting for the outcome of the Tribal Rights Bill at the Centre before doing so.

2. Erratic coverage of minor irrigation scheme: Similarly, the project has

ensured 5 tube wells in one area but there needs to be sustained activity

regarding the supply of water in all needy gudems. It is a diversion from

their original plan.

3. No IEC activities have been taken up and the budgetary allocation is unspent because of the poor weather conditions and combing operations by

the police. However, BDS plans to take up kala jathas in the future.

4. Community Health Workers training has not been taken up: This money remains unspent because the ITDA had already trained community health workers. However BDS is planning to organize a training programme with the support of Academy of Nursing studies (ANS). ANS is imparting training

on health issues in six states.

5. Screening of Chenchus for TB has not taken place as a unified activity

but sporadically.

6. Facilitation of land distribution, electrification of Chenchu gudems and restoration of dwelling rights are going as ongoing activities rather

than as planned activities with targets. One important aspect in nutrition

has been missed out during planning namely the performance of the public

distribution system.

This is a flaw that should have been addressed at the planning stage by

the project holder and the SDTT programme officer, namely I.

7. There is a PRA or baseline study budgeted for, and the organization has

opted for a baseline study. On examination of the data sheets I found that

the information collected was incomplete and the data had not yet been collated. This is a flaw in the monitoring as the baseline was supposed to

have been completed in the first quarter. We have missed out on valuable

data that would have strengthened the case for replicability of the project.

8. An external evaluation was budgeted for at the end of the 5th quarter

that has not been done. In addition, an external evaluation is planned in